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## A. Administrative

1. Title
2. Requester's name
3. Requester type
4. Submission date

Proposal to Encode Orthographic Glottal Stops in the UCS
SIL International (contact: Jonathan Kew), Peter Constable
Expert contribution
2004-02-01
5. Requester's reference

6a. Completion
This is a complete proposal
6b. More information to Only as required for clarification. be provided?

## B. Technical-General

1a. New Script? Name? No
1b. Addition of characters to existing Yes - Latin Extended B is suggested block? Name?
2. Number of characters in proposal

2
3. Proposed category

A
4. Proposed level of implementation and 1 (no combining marks) rationale
5a. Character names included in
Yes proposal?
5b. Character names in accordance with
Yes guidelines?
5c. Character shapes reviewable? Yes
6a. Who will provide computerized font? SIL International
6b. Font currently available? Yes

6c. Font format? TrueType
7a. Are references (to other character sets, Yes dictionaries, descriptive texts, etc.) provided?
$7 b$. Are published examples (such as Yes samples from newspapers, magazines, or other sources) of use of proposed characters attached?
8. Does the proposal address other Yes, suggested character properties are included (see aspects of character data processing? section D).

## C. Technical-Justification

1. Has this proposal for addition of No character(s) been submitted before?

2a. Has contact been made to members of Yes the user community?

2 b . With whom?
Linguists working with the user communities, and other representatives of the communities
3. Information on the user community for the proposed characters is

Dogrib, Chipewyan, North and South Slavey are Athapaskan languages spoken in northwest Canada. included?
4. The context of use for the proposed characters
5. Are the proposed characters in current Yes use by the user community?

6a. Must the proposed characters be
Preferably entirely in the BMP?
6b. Rationale?
If possible, should be kept with other Latin characters in the BMP.
7. Should the proposed characters be Yes kept together in a contiguous range?
8a. Can any of the proposed characters be No considered a presentation form of an existing character or character sequence?
8b. Rationale for inclusion?
N/A

9a. Can any of the proposed characters be The character LATIN CAPITAL LETTER GLOTTAL considered to be similar (in appearance or function) to an existing character? STOP has the same appearance as U+0294 LATIN LETTER GLOTTAL STOP, but has different character properties.
9 b . Rationale for inclusion?
Distinct characters (see the discussion in §E.2).
10. Does the proposal include the use of No. combining characters and/or use of composite sequences?
11. Does the proposal contain characters No. with any special properties?

## D. Proposed Characters

Two characters are proposed: general category and case mapping properties are as shown:

| Glyph | Name | Gen. Cat. | Properties |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{P}$ | LATIN CAPITAL LETTER | Lu | lowercase map = LATIN SMALL |
|  | GLOTTAL STOP |  | LETTER GLOTTAL STOP |
| $\boldsymbol{P}$ | LATIN SMALL LETTER GLOTTAL <br> STOP | Ll | uppercase map = LATIN CAPITAL <br> LETTER GLOTTAL STOP |

Other properties for these remaining characters should match those of similar characters, such as U+0061 LATIN SMALL LETTER A.

## E. Other Information

## E. 1 Rationale

The Chipewyan, Dogrib and Slavey languages are Athabaskan languages spoken in northwest Canada. These languages have phonemic glottal stop and use the glottal stop character orthographically. Moreover, the orthographies of these languages have an orthographic case distinction between upper- and lower-case glottal stop.

The characte. P. called 'glottal' or 'glottal stop', represents a sound like what we hear in the middle of the English expression "oh-oh". In Dogrib this sound is an ordinary consonant. It is found in many words of all types:

| Poo spruce boughs <br> P!hdaa jackfish |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| serè | my jacket |
| wergè | beyond it |
| napeelı | he or she is sewing |
| nligo | it arrived |
| k'era | (animals) are roaming |

Figure 1. Small glottal stop; from Whaèhdọò Nàowoò Kọ̀ (2002), p. 173.

## Peehgotìtsoa 'Small Clear Lake' <br> Pehgotìtsoa 'Small Elbow Lake' <br> Ts'Rehdaà 'Spruce Point' <br> Ts'redaa 'Living Spruce'

Figure 2. Capital (blue highlight) and small (red highlight) glottal stops; from Whaèhdọò Nàowoò Kọ̀ (2002), p. 82.

Figure 3. Capital (blue highlight) and small (red highlight) glottal stops; from Whaèhdọò Nàowoò Kọ̀ (2002), p. 90.

## Chią tl'i k'e dawheda ts'īp nàhdọ họ̀t'e.

## ?asii wịzì whenehtà nị le.

Figure 4. Capital (blue highlight) and small (red highlight) glottal stops; from Koyina (1983).


# Tatsф̣ga dekò ts'ф̀ naàhtła kò, k'oòhdzQ Ekw'o-ehtsı ts'ı k'e dawheda. Ekw'o-ehtst wheze xè hadı, "?ast ehワf." 

Figure 5. Capital (blue highlight) and small (red highlight) glottal stops; from O'Rouche (1987).

## E. 2 Relationship to U+0294 LATIN LETTER GLOTTAL STOP

The uppercase glottal stop used in Athapaskan languages is visually identical with the existing character U+0294 LATIN LETTER GLOTTAL STOP. It might be supposed, then, that U+0294 could be used orthographically for these languages, and that only one new character is required. The problem, however, is that $\mathrm{U}+0294$ has a general category property of Lm, lowercase letter, and must stand in a case relationship with a case-paired character used in the orthographies of these languages. Two possible solutions involving addition of only one new character exist:

1. Use $U+0294$ as the orthographic lowercase, and add a new character for the capital; different glyphs would be used for U+0294 in orthographic usage and phonetic transcription.
2. Change the case of $\mathrm{U}+0294$ to uppercase (i.e. change the general category property to Lu ), and add a new lowercase character.
The first of these alternatives has the problem that the new character would have a cap-height glyph, which is what is used as the representative glyph for $U+0294$. There would be considerable confusion both for users of phonetic transcription and for users of Athapaskan orthographies, and inconsistency in usage would result.
The second of these alternatives is problematic in that changing the case of an existing character can create problems for implementations such as domain-name protocols.

It is considered preferable, then, that two new characters be added, and that the intended purposes of $\mathrm{U}+0294$ and the visually-identical LATIN CAPITAL LETTER GLOTTAL STOP be documented, which can easily be done using annotations in the names list.

## F. References

Koyina, Laiza. 1983. Do weda goòle xè Teèt'o si. (The Blind Man and the Loon.) Yellowknife, NWT, Canada: Northwest Terretories Department of Education.
O'Rouche, Robert (ed.) 1987. Edànì noge done gok'è̀idì (How the Fox helped the People). Yellowknife, NWT, Canada: Northwest Terretories Department of Education.

Whaèhdọ̀̀ Nàowoò Kọ̀, Dogrib Treaty 11 Council. 2002. "Dogrib knowledge on placenames, caribou and habitat: Final report." Yellowknife, NWT, Canada: West Kitikmeot Slave Study Society. Available online at:
http://www.wkss.nt.ca/HTML/08_ProjectsReports/PDF/DogribPlaceCaribouHabitat2002.pdf.

